

**MINUTES OF TWENTY-NINTH MEETING OF THE PROJECT APPROVAL BOARD
FOR SARVA SHIKSHA ABHIYAN HELD ON 16 DECEMBER, 2002**

The 29th meeting of the Project Approval Board for Sarva Shiksha Abhiyan was held under the Chairmanship of Shri S.C. Tripathi, Secretary, Elementary Education & Literacy on 16.12.2002. A list of participants is annexed.

Item No.1: Confirmation of the Minutes of the 27th meeting held on 5.12.2002

The Minutes of the 27th meeting of the PAB held on 5.12.2002 were confirmed.

Item No.2: Action Taken on the Minutes of the 27th meeting held on 5.12.2002.

Action taken on the decisions of the 27th meeting was noted.

Item No.3: Consideration of Annual Action Plan 2002-2003 in respect of Andhra Pradesh

3.1 Dr. (Mrs.) Shabnam Sinha of NCERT on behalf of the Appraisal Team made the following points:

- The Appraisal Mission visited the State between 13-16 November, 2002 to appraise the Perspective Plan as well as Annual Plan 2002-2003 in respect of 23 districts. The Team visited two DPEP districts and one non-DPEP district
- The Mission appreciated the efforts made by the State Government for enrolment and retention of children under 'Chanduvukundam Programme' under which Mandal Education Officers have been empowered with prosecution and penalization of employers of working children.
- The State has a good Networking Mechanism between Mandal District and State to facilitate monitoring of various activities at the field level.
- There are certain areas of concern. Some of them are as follows:
 - o There is a considerable amount of data inconsistency with source of data not being mentioned in some cases.
 - o The Perspective Plans of SSA Districts have been considered as a dynamic document but the State may review it in subsequent years for improvement. In the case of DPEP

districts, the Perspective Plans were not considered and only Annual Plans were considered and appraised.

- Mid-term Assessment Survey (MAS)/Base Line Survey (BAS) reveals very modest improvement in achievement level. Field Visits and interaction with the Students, Teachers and the Resource Persons revealed that performance level could be improved.
- Vision for quality improvement for the upper primary stage needs further crystallization and the State may consider doing so while revising Perspective Plans of DPEP districts.
- Text books of Telugu and Mathematics need to be reviewed and EVS text books need to be rewritten.
- Teacher training modules need to be reviewed to integrate content related element along with transitional methodology.
- Duration of Vidya Sahayak training may be increased. The seven day training provided is too less The State should replicate the distant mode of teacher training in DPEP districts, in non-DPEP districts.
- Civil works were found to be done through contractors in Hyderabad, which is against the SSA norms. SPD, AP stated that this was found in a stray case only in Hyderabad. Secretary (EE&L) directed that a letter to that effect may be given by the State, with the justification for any deviation.

3.2 Secretary, EE & L desired to know about the qualification of Vidya Sahayaks and the constraints in having a longer training period. These teachers should be trained for at least 30 days before they start teaching. He stated that if they are to continue for more than two years the State should have a perspective of enabling these Vidya Sahayaks to become regular teachers by ensuring training over a period of time. For this the State may contact NCTE and develop appropriate training modules. The SPD that the qualification for Vidya Sahayak is Class 12 while for regular teachers it is B.Ed./Diploma in Teacher Education. At present they are given 7 days training and there is no problem in enhancing the duration as per recommendation of the Appraisal Team. The recruitment to such teachers is done by School Level Committees and the salary is between Rs.1000 and Rs.2000. Secretary Education, AP added that the system of Vidya Sahayak is only a transitional arrangement since the requirement is likely to come down with declining enrolment.

3.3 While discussing the problem of out of school children and child labour, the State Education Secretary mentioned that the Mandal Education Officers have been empowered in the

State under the Child Labour Act to take action against the child employer. This attempt at convergence was appreciated by the PAB. The Mandal Resource Persons (MRPs) have also been empowered to ensure that all children in 6-14 age group attend school by monitoring class wise attendance. One MRP is supposed to cover 15 schools. Because of this close monitoring the class-wise transition rate is 96%.

3.4 Shri Girotra, of TSG and the member of the Appraisal Mission, pointed out that the quality of civil works in the State needs special attention. The quality of civil work particularly in DPEP-II has gone down. Secretary, EE&L suggested that the State should constitute a Technical Team to supervise the civil work and to ensure quality.

3.5 Secretary, AP, informed that the ECCE programme is being implemented through convergence with ICDS. The Aanganwadi workers are provided training and TLM. Convergence has been attempted with primary schools as far as the location of ICDS centres is concerned.

3.6 Based on the recommendations of the Appraisal Team, observations made by the participants and clarifications given by the State representatives, the following decisions were taken by the Board in regard to Annual Plans 2002-2003 in respect of Andhra Pradesh:

- i) Since the State does not have community blocks, the calculation for BRCs and CRCs would be based on the norm of 100 schools taken as a CD block.
- ii) Maintenance grant was approved for all Government schools, both in DPEP and non-DPEP districts.
- iii) For upper primary new schools two teachers per school were approved.
- iv) The proposals regarding EGS, IED, Innovation, R&E grant, maintenance grant, community training, teacher training, school grant, teacher grant, management cost (subject to 6% ceiling) and civil works (subject to 33% ceiling) were approved as per recommendations of the appraisal team..
- v) Based on the proposal of the State Government and the recommendations of the Appraisal Team thereon, a total Annual Plan 2002-03 for an amount of Rs 16990.27 lakhs was approved as per details given in Annex I.
- vi) Against the AWP of 2001-02, an amount of Rs 346 lakhs, relating to civil engineering works and TLE in DPEP districts, was allowed to spill over to the annual plan of 2002-03 as per Annex II. The second instalment for non-DPEP districts has already been released and so the question of spill over does not arise.

- vii) It was directed that the State should also satisfy the following conditions:
- (a) The State Government should give a written commitment for meeting its share of the SSA outlay.
 - (b) First instalment of the State share should also be released to the Society within one month of the release of Central share to the State Government.
 - (c) At least 50% of the teachers recruited should be female.
 - (d) Teachers appointed against posts created through SSA funds should be made accountable to the VEC for at least salary payment. The latter would monitor the attendance before releasing the salary.
 - (e) VECs or equivalent bodies should be constituted and accounts opened to incur expenditure under teachers grant, civil works, maintenance grants, school grants and other such expenditure which has to be incurred only through these bodies as per SSA norms.
 - (f) The State Government will maintain their level of investment in elementary education as in 1999-2000 and give the details of this to GOI before the release of second instalment. The contribution as State share for SSA will be over and above this investment.
 - (g) The second instalment would only be released after the previous instalment of State share has been transferred to the State Implementation Society and substantial progress has been made in expenditure as far as money already released is concerned.
 - (h) All appointments under the head of management cost should either be on deputation or on contract basis, with all persons being recruited having functional computer literacy

Item No.4. Consideration of Annual Action Plan 2002-2003 in respect of Jharkhand

- 4.1 Dr. A.A.C. Lal on behalf of the Appraisal Team made the following points: -
- The State Govt. has submitted Plans of 22 districts of which 13 are non-DPEP districts and 9 are DPEP districts. Of the 13 non-DPEP districts, UN assisted interventions have been existing in 5 districts.
 - As the districts have completed house-to-house survey, the Plans have rich database.
 - Jharkhand being a hilly and forest State, its indicators may not be same for other States and hence there is a need to look upon the SSA norms for various facilities being sanctioned

under SSA. A sizable number of villages have scanty population and located in far flung remote areas.

- The State has SC population of 12.91% and ST population 25.17%; 7 districts have ST population of more than 40%.
- The State has literacy rate of 54.13% with female literacy at 39.38%.
- The Gross Enrolment Ratio is 66.23% in primary grades and 48.77% in upper primary grades.
- The child population in the age group 6-14 years is 55.69 lakhs while the number of out of school children is 14.6 lakhs.
- The GER in primary is 66% and Upper Primary is 46%. However, a large number of children are studying in private schools, so the actual GER is higher than that reflected from Government school figures.
- The number of primary and upper primary schools 16545 and 3752 respectively.
- The number of habitations without schools is 13,217. The State has, however, not proposed any new schools in the Plans.
- The PTR in the State is very high. Further there are around 12000 vacancies which the State is trying to fill through JPSC

4.2 SPD, Jharkhand mentioned about two schemes of the State Government, namely, Gram Shiksha Abhiyan (GSA), targeting opening of EGS-like centres in all access-less habitations, and provision of a bicycle of every girl going to high school which are helping enrolment and retention of children in the schools. State has opted for EGS type interventions for providing access and is not opening new primary schools as of now. From the fourth year they plan to convert 15% of the EGS centres into schools every year. The GSA has been dovetailed into the EGS scheme, with the additional funds required under GSA beyond the EGS guidelines being given by the State Government.

4.3 The State follows the NCERT textbooks and curriculum. Secretary, EE&L suggested that there is no objection to State Government adopting NCERT textbooks but at the same time they should evolve textbooks at local and district level also. State representative reported that they have already brought out 'Jharkhand Gaurav' as a supplement to the NCERT text books and also developing Tribal language primers in the State.

4.4 The State has an adverse PTR, which is as high as 1:85 even in more developed region like Hazaribag. The SPD clarified that this was because of the vacancies of teacher posts. The State has already requested the State Public Service Commission to recruit teachers. They also proposed to appoint Sahyogi Teachers (12000) for EGS centres. On a query from Secretary, EE&L, the SPD stated the qualification for Sahyogi Teachers is matric trained, as applicable for regular teachers.

4.5 Based on the recommendations of the Appraisal Team, observations made by the participants and clarifications given by the State representatives, the following decisions were taken by the Board in regard to Annual Plans 2002-2003 in respect of Jharkhand:

- i) While 900 additional teachers in the existing schools in non-DPEP districts and 452 additional teachers in DPEP districts were approved, no funds were provided for the same this year since the State would not be in a position to fill up the posts this year. The State was asked to proceed with the process of filling up these newly sanctioned posts so that they are in position at least at the beginning of next year.
- ii) While the EGS centres asked for by the State were approved, provision for additional children in the existing EGS centres was not approved. If the State managed to enroll additional students this year in the EGS centres than the numbers sanctioned, it can approach the GOI for additional funds this year. Other AIE schemes like bridge courses, remedial coaching and back to school camps were also approved.
- iii) The number of school buildings, toilets and drinking water facilities were increased since a large margin was still available in the 33% limit.
- iv) The proposals regarding R&E grant, school grant, teacher grant, IED, innovation, maintenance grant, community training, teacher training, BRC, CRC and management cost (subject to 6% ceiling) were approved as per recommendations of the appraisal team..
- v) Based on the proposal of the State Government and the recommendations of the Appraisal Team thereon, a total Annual Plan 2002-03 for an amount of Rs 6871.82 lakhs for non-DPEP districts and Rs 2693.09 lakhs for DPEP districts was approved as per details given in Annex III (non-DPEP districts) and IV (DPEP districts).
- vi) Against the AWP of 2001-02, an amount of Rs 600.41 lakhs was allowed to spill over to the annual plan of 2002-03 as per Annex V, and the balance amount was allowed to lapse since no expenditure had taken place in 2001-02.
- vii) It was directed that the State should also satisfy the following conditions:

- (a) The State Government should give a written commitment for meeting its share of the SSA outlay.
- (b) First instalment of the State share should also be released to the Society within one month of the release of Central share to the State Government.
- (c) At least 50% of the teachers recruited should be female.
- (d) Teachers appointed against posts created through SSA funds should be made accountable to the VEC for at least salary payment. The latter would monitor the attendance before releasing the salary.
- (e) VECs or equivalent bodies should be constituted and accounts opened to incur expenditure under teachers grant, civil works, maintenance grants, school grants and other such expenditure which has to be incurred only through these bodies as per SSA norms.
- (f) The State Government will maintain their level of investment in elementary education as in 1999-2000 and give the details of this to GOI before the release of second instalment. The contribution as State share for SSA will be over and above this investment.
- (g) The second instalment would only be released after the previous instalment of State share has been transferred to the State Implementation Society and substantial progress has been made in expenditure as far as money already released is concerned.
- (h) All appointments under the head of management cost should either be on deputation or on contract basis, with all persons being recruited having functional computer literacy

Item No.5: Consideration of Annual Action Plan 2002-2003 for DPEP districts of Gujarat

- 5.1 Dr. A.A.C.Lal and Ms Sarika Misra of the Appraisal Team made the following points:-
- There are 25 districts in the State of which 11 are covered under DPEP and 14 under SSA.
 - The District Plans seems to be Perspective Plans upto the year 2010. However, because of the paucity of the relevant data appraisal was limited to Annual Plans 2002-2003.
 - The State has not developed separate Plan for each district and also there is no indication whether community was involved in the Planning process while developing the District Plans.
 - House hold survey data not used in the planning exercise.

- The PTR is 1:40 yet the number of single teacher schools is very large.
- State needs to give separate and detailed perspective plan supported with data regarding enrolment, retention, PTR and also separately for boys and girls. These deficiencies need to be addressed by the State.

5.2 Based on the recommendations of the Appraisal Team, observations made by the participants and clarifications given by the State representatives, the following decisions were taken by the Board in regard to Annual Plans 2002-2003 in respect of the DPEP districts of Gujarat:

- i) The recommendation of the appraisal team regarding training of community leaders was not approved since this is to be taken up under DPEP.
- ii) School grant, Teacher grant and Teacher training for Class VIII of High school was not admitted pending finalization of policy on this.
- iii) The proposals regarding R&E grant, maintenance grant, teacher training, BRC, CRC, innovation, IED, TLE, TLM, teachers, management cost (subject to 6% ceiling) and civil works (subject to 33% ceiling) were approved as per recommendations of the appraisal team.
- iv) Based on the proposal of the State Government and the recommendations of the Appraisal Team thereon, a total Annual Plan 2002-03 for an amount of Rs 4169.37 lakhs was approved as per details given in Annex VI.
- v) Against the AWP of 2001-02, an amount of Rs 150.90 lakhs was allowed to spill over to the annual plan of 2002-03 as per Annex VII, and the balance amount was allowed to lapse since no expenditure had taken place in 2001-02
- vi) It was directed that the State should also satisfy the following conditions:
 - (a) The State Government should give a written commitment for meeting its share of the SSA outlay.
 - (b) First instalment of the State share should also be released to the Society within one month of the release of Central share to the State Government.
 - (c) At least 50% of the teachers recruited should be female.
 - (d) Teachers appointed against posts created through SSA funds should be made accountable to the VEC for at least salary payment. The latter would monitor the attendance before releasing the salary.
 - (e) VECs or equivalent bodies should be constituted and accounts opened to incur expenditure under teachers grant, civil works, maintenance grants, school grants and

other such expenditure which has to be incurred only through these bodies as per SSA norms.

- (f) The State Government will maintain their level of investment in elementary education as in 1999-2000 and give the details of this to GOI before the release of second instalment. The contribution as State share for SSA will be over and above this investment.
- (g) The second instalment would only be released after the previous instalment of State share has been transferred to the State Implementation Society and substantial progress has been made in expenditure as far as money already released is concerned.
- (h) All appointments under the head of management cost should either be on deputation or on contract basis, with all persons being recruited having functional computer literacy

5.3 It was also agreed to revise the civil engineering unit cost for non-DPEP districts by taking into account the higher cost of earthquake resistant building. The State Society should send a revised cost details, district-wise, for appraising the same on file. While sending these details, it should be assured that 33% limit is not violated. If the revised amount on Civil Works exceeding 33%, the amount may be restricted to 33% and the balance brought in the next year's Plan.

Item No.6: Consideration of Annual Action Plan 2002-2003 in respect of Pondicherry.

6.1 The UT has four districts of Pondicherry, Karaikal, Yanam and Mahe with a total population of 9.73 lakh. The literacy rate in the UT is 81.49% with female literacy at 74.13% as per 2001 census. There are 322 primary and 130 upper primary schools including 71 and 61 private schools catering to classes I-VIII. The total number of teachers in primary and upper primary schools is 1944 out of which the number of female teachers is 867 which is about 44.5%. The teacher-pupil ratio in primary and upper primary is 1:25 and 1:29 respectively. There are only 29 habitations without schooling facility of which 20 are in Pondicherry.

6.2 Based on the recommendations of the Appraisal Team, observations made by the participants and clarifications given by the State representatives, the following decisions were taken by the Board in regard to Annual Plans 2002-2003 in respect of Pondicherry:

- i) The proposals regarding R&E grant, school grant, community leaders training, EGS, maintenance grant, teacher training, BRC, CRC, innovation, TLE, TLM, management cost (subject to 6% ceiling) and civil works (subject to 33% ceiling) were approved as per recommendations of the appraisal team.

- ii) Based on the proposal of the State Government and the recommendations of the Appraisal Team thereon, a total Annual Plan 2002-03 for an amount of Rs 341.58 lakhs was approved as per details given in Annex VIII
- iii) Against the AWP of 2001-02, an amount of Rs 12.39 lakhs was allowed to spill over to the annual plan of 2002-03 as per Annex IX, and the balance amount was allowed to lapse since no expenditure had taken place in 2001-02.
- iv) It was directed that the State should also satisfy the following conditions:
 - (a) The State Government should give a written commitment for meeting its share of the SSA outlay.
 - (b) First instalment of the State share should also be released to the Society within one month of the release of Central share to the State Government.
 - (c) At least 50% of the teachers recruited should be female.
 - (d) Teachers appointed against posts created through SSA funds should be made accountable to the VEC for at least salary payment. The latter would monitor the attendance before releasing the salary.
 - (e) VECs or equivalent bodies should be constituted and accounts opened to incur expenditure under teachers grant, civil works, maintenance grants, school grants and other such expenditure which has to be incurred only through these bodies as per SSA norms.
 - (f) The State Government will maintain their level of investment in elementary education as in 1999-2000 and give the details of this to GOI before the release of second instalment. The contribution as State share for SSA will be over and above this investment.
 - (g) The second instalment would only be released after the previous instalment of State share has been transferred to the State Implementation Society and substantial progress has been made in expenditure as far as money already released is concerned.
 - (h) All appointments under the head of management cost should either be on deputation or on contract basis, with all persons being recruited having functional computer literacy

Item No.7: Consideration of Annual Action Plan 2002-2003 in respect of Punjab.

7.1 Shri G.C.Upadhya of NCERT, on the behalf of the appraisal team stated that the State has prepared a vision 2020 for education sector, and this vision plans for all children upto the age of

18. The focus in the vision was provision of access to all children till the age of 18, expansion of ECCE and elimination of gender disparity.

7.2 Based on the recommendations of the Appraisal Team, observations made by the participants and clarifications given by the State representatives, the following decisions were taken by the Board in regard to Annual Plans 2002-2003 in respect of Punjab:

- i) The salary of teachers would be given for 2 months only and not 3 months as proposed by the appraisal team.
- ii) Proposal regarding EGS was not approved since the State has not been able to utilize the amount of the previous year.
- iii) The proposals regarding R&E grant, maintenance grant, community training, school grant, teacher grant, teacher training, BRC, CRC, innovation, IED, TLM, management cost (subject to 6% ceiling) and civil works (subject to 33% ceiling) were approved as per recommendations of the appraisal team.
- iv) Based on the proposal of the State Government and the recommendations of the Appraisal Team thereon, a total Annual Plan 2002-03 for an amount of Rs 9946.342 lakhs was approved as per details given in Annex X
- v) Against the AWP of 2001-02, an amount of Rs 4267.65 lakhs was allowed to spill over to the annual plan of 2002-03 as per Annex XI, and the balance amount was allowed to lapse since no expenditure had taken place in 2001-02.
- vi) It was directed that the State should also satisfy the following conditions:
 - (a) The State Government should give a written commitment for meeting its share of the SSA outlay.
 - (b) First instalment of the State share should also be released to the Society within one month of the release of Central share to the State Government.
 - (c) At least 50% of the teachers recruited should be female.
 - (d) Teachers appointed against posts created through SSA funds should be made accountable to the VEC for at least salary payment. The latter would monitor the attendance before releasing the salary.
 - (e) VECs or equivalent bodies should be constituted and accounts opened to incur expenditure under teachers grant, civil works, maintenance grants, school grants and other such expenditure which has to be incurred only through these bodies as per SSA norms.

- (f) The State Government will maintain their level of investment in elementary education as in 1999-2000 and give the details of this to GOI before the release of second instalment. The contribution as State share for SSA will be over and above this investment.
- (g) The second instalment would only be released after the previous instalment of State share has been transferred to the State Implementation Society and substantial progress has been made in expenditure as far as money already released is concerned.
- (h) All appointments under the head of management cost should either be on deputation or on contract basis, with all persons being recruited having functional computer literacy

Item No.8: Consideration of State Component Plan for Kerala

8.1 Mr. Amit Kaushik, Director, pointed out that while approving the Perspective and annual plan of Kerala in the meeting of the PAB on, the State Component Plan was not examined since the State had not prepared the plan. Now the State has proposed the same and the management cost proposed is within the overall 6% limit for management cost. The State Component for a total amount of Rs 1464.22 lakhs for the period 2002-07 and Rs 211.29 lakhs for the year 2002-03 were approved. Details are given in Annex-XII.

9.0 The meeting ended with a Vote of Thanks to the Chair.

**ATTENDANCE OF THE 29TH MEETING OF THE PROJECT APPROVAL BOARD HELD
ON 16.12.2002 AT 11.00 A.M.**

1. Shri S.C. Tripathi, Secretary(EE&L) In the Chair
2. Shri Sumit Bose, JS(EE), MHRD
3. Shri Jagan Mathews, JS (AE), MHRD
4. Dr. Meena Gautam, Dy. Secretary (NCTE), (Representative of Chairperson, NCTE)
5. Shri R.L. Raichandani, AFA, MHRD, (Representative of FA MHRD)
6. Dr. Shabnam Sinha, Director (Representative of Director, NCERT)
7. Ms. Indu Datta, Director, Plg. Commission (Rep. of Pr. Adviser (Edu.), Planning Commission)
8. Shri R. Chakravorty, US (PNI), (Representative of JS (Plg.), MHRD)

In Attendance

1. Shri I.V. Subba Rao, Secretary, School Education, Govt. of Andhra Pradesh
2. Ms. Poonam Malkondaiah, SPD, AP
3. Ms. Smita Chugh, SPD, JEPC, Jharkhand
4. Shri Amit Khare, Secretary, Human Resource Development, Jharkhand
5. Shri J.P. Gupta, SPD, Gujarat
6. Ms. M. Sathiyavathy, Secretary Education, Govt. of Pondicherry
7. Shri G. Theva Neethi Dhas, Director of Education, Govt. of Pondicherry
8. Shri A. Rama Das, Dy. Director, (Edu.), Govt. of Pondicherry
9. Shri S.S. Randhawa, SPD, SSA, Govt. of Punjab
10. Shri Han Chahe, Punjab
11. Shri Sachin Kumar, Expert EMIS, Punjab
12. Shri A.K. Nallar, Punjab
13. Shri K.P. Bajira, Operator, SSA, Punjab
14. Shri. G.C. Upadhyay, Reader, NCERT
15. Dr. A.A.C. Lal, Consultant, SSA, (TSG), Ed.CIL
16. Shri Satish Girotra, Consultant, SSA, (TSG), Ed.CIL
17. Shri Subhash Gujaria, Consultant, (TSG), Ed.CIL
18. Ms. Amita Jain, Consultant, (TSG), Ed.CIL
19. Ms. Sarika Mishra, Consultant, (TSG), Ed.CIL
20. Shri A.K. Prasad, (TSG), Ed.CIL
21. Ms. Sobha Paniker, (TSG), Ed.CIL
22. Shri Sanjeev Khurana, (TSG), Ed.CIL
23. Shri Amit Kaushik, Director, MHRD
24. Ms. Purna Gulati, Dy. Secretary, MHRD
25. Prof. K.R. Chandrasekaran, DEA, MHRD
26. Shri J.S. Bhowa, MHRD
27. Shri R.S. Bhatia, US, MHRD
28. Shri Praveen Kumar, Director, MHRD
29. Shri O.P. Chaturvedi, US, MHRD

Annex V

Spill over into 2002-03 of AWP of 2001-02 for Jharkhand

(Rs lakhs)

	District	Spill over			Current AWP 2002-03	Total AWP 2002-03
		Civil engg	TLE	Total		
1	Lohardaga	11.25	0.00	11.25	301.59	312.84
2	Gumla	36.70	0.00	36.70	551.40	588.10
3	Simdega	29.15	0.00	29.15	383.31	412.46
4	Deoghar	25.00	0.00	25.00	668.39	693.39
5	Garhwa	12.50	0.00	12.50	497.32	509.82
6	Dhanbad	37.00	0.00	37.00	659.62	696.62
7	Palamu	40.50	0.00	40.50	614.21	654.71
8	Latehar	12.25	0.00	12.25	408.91	421.16
9	Bokaro	36.75	0.00	36.75	505.80	542.55
10	Sahebganj	31.50	0.00	31.50	505.43	536.93
11	Godda	30.00	0.00	30.00	495.45	525.45
12	Pakur	23.00	0.00	23.00	338.67	361.67
13	Giridih	40.00	10.50	50.50	863.19	913.69
14	Chatra	5.60	31.00	36.60	215.15	251.75
15	Dumka	5.80	18.50	24.30	368.43	392.73
16	Hazaribag	10.80	27.00	37.80	540.60	578.40
17	Koderma	9.28	27.00	36.28	191.77	228.05
18	West Singhbhum	7.05	0.00	7.05	360.13	367.18
19	East Singhbhum	11.25	14.75	26.00	252.80	278.80
20	Ranchi	9.92	25.00	34.92	383.57	418.49
21	Jamtara	5.96	10.00	15.96	174.17	190.13
22	Seraikela	5.40	0.00	5.40	206.48	211.88
	State Component	0.00	0.00	0.00	78.53	78.53
	Total	436.66	163.75	600.41	9564.91	10165.32

Annex VII

Spill over into 2002-03 of AWP of 2001-02 for DPEP districts of Gujarat

(Rs lakhs)

	District	AWP 2001-02	Expendit ure	Spill over AWP 2002-03 (S)			Current AWP 2002-03 (C)	Total AWP 2002- 03(S+C)
				Civil Works	TLE	Total		
1	Banaskantha	39.85	0.00	12.80	4.00	16.80	910.78	927.58
2	Bhavnagar	39.85	0.00	12.80	4.00	16.80	223.29	240.09
3	Dahod	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	637.36	637.36
4	Dang	39.85	0.00	12.80	4.00	16.80	207.13	223.93
5	Jamnagar	39.55	0.00	11.00	5.50	16.50	217.32	233.82
6	Junagadh	39.85	0.00	12.80	4.00	16.80	238.94	255.74
7	Kutchh	39.85	0.00	12.80	4.00	16.80	233.71	250.51
8	Panchmahal	39.85	0.00	12.80	4.00	16.80	801.76	818.56
9	Porbandar	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	136.91	136.91
10	Sabarkantha	39.85	0.00	12.80	4.00	16.80	359.61	376.41
11	Surendranagar	39.85	0.00	12.80	4.00	16.80	202.57	219.37
	Total	358.35	0.00	113.40	37.50	150.90	4169.38	4320.28

Annex IX

Spill over into 2002-03 of AWP of 2001-02 for Pondicherry

(Rs lakhs)

		Spill Over (Civil Works)	Current AWP 2002-03	Total AWP 2002-03
1	Pondicherry	7.71	187.85	195.56
2	Karaikal	3.76	91.52	95.28
3	Mahe	0.46	29.57	30.03
4	Yanam	0.46	32.64	33.10
	Total	12.39	341.58	353.97

Annex XI

Spill over into 2002-03 of AWP of 2001-02 for Punjab

(Rs lakhs)

	Districts	Spill Over			Current AWP 2002-03	Total AWP 2002-03
		Civil Works	TLE	Total		
1	Amritsar	437.60	2.10	439.70	992.30	1432.00
2	Bathinda	191.65	3.90	195.55	447.06	642.61
3	Faridkot	135.20	2.50	137.70	273.39	411.09
4	Fatehgarh Sahib	124.15	0.00	124.15	331.17	455.32
5	Ferozepur	329.00	5.30	334.30	764.64	1098.94
6	Gurdaspur	397.00	0.00	397.00	979.80	1376.80
7	Hoshiarpur	295.00	0.00	295.00	757.30	1052.30
8	Jalandhar	309.25	0.00	309.25	693.33	1002.58
9	Kapurthala	168.75	0.00	168.75	386.12	554.87
10	Ludhiana	319.40	0.00	319.40	786.56	1105.96
11	Mansa	137.85	0.70	138.55	329.01	467.56
12	Moga	180.15	3.40	183.55	390.38	573.93
13	Mukatsar	163.55	3.30	166.85	352.94	519.79
14	Nawanshehar	142.35	0.00	142.35	324.18	466.53
15	Patiala	371.00	21.30	392.30	821.81	1214.11
16	Ropar	205.00	0.00	205.00	521.12	726.12
17	Sangrur	313.45	4.80	318.25	738.90	1057.15
18	State Component	0.00	0.00	0.00	56.34	56.34
	Total	4220.35	47.30	4267.65	9946.34	14213.99