

**MINUTES OF THE FOURTEENTH MEETING OF THE PROJECT APPROVAL  
BOARD OF SARVA SHIKSHA ABHIYAN HELD  
ON 11 MARCH, 2002**

The 14<sup>th</sup> meeting of the Project Approval Board of Sarva Shiksha Abhiyan was held under the chairmanship of Shri S.K. Tripathi, Secretary, Elementary Education & Literacy on 11 March, 2002 to consider the District Elementary Education Plans of non-DPEP districts of Arunachal Pradesh, Tripura, Himachal Pradesh & Pondicherry and the DPEP districts of Karnataka and Rajasthan. A list of participants is annexed.

**Item No.1** The Board confirmed the Minutes of the Thirteenth Meeting of the Project Approval Board held on 28.2.2002.

**Item No.2** The Board noted the Action Taken Report on the decisions taken in the 13<sup>th</sup> meeting of the Project Approval Board held on 28.2.2002.

**Item No.3.** Consideration of the DEEPs of Arunachal Pradesh

3.1 A brief presentation was made by the Education Secretary, Arunachal Pradesh. The highlights of the presentation are as follows :-

- The State has 15 districts of which 2 districts, namely, Kuru Kumey and Lower Dibang Valley, have been newly created.
- The State Government had initially proposed DEEPs of only 13 districts, including the new district of Kuru Kumey. The Plan of Lower Dibang valley was already included in the combined plan of the unbifurcated Dibang Valley. The Plan for West Siang was given subsequently only a few days before the meeting of PAB
- The literacy rate which was 41.59% in 1991 has improved to 54.7% in 2001. The female literacy as per current census is 44.24%.
- The total population in the age group 6-14 years is 2,45,886 out of which 1,78,248 have been enrolled.
- The drop-out rate is 48.50% for boys and 50.40% for girls at primary level and 63.47% for boys and 60.28% for girls at elementary stage.
- The total number of out of school children in the age group 6-11 years is 36,993.
- The number of primary schools is 1303 and that of upper primary is 333.

- The teacher-pupil ratio is 1:33 in primary schools and 1:28 in upper primary schools.
- The ratio of female teacher to male teacher is 1:1.
- The number of uncovered habitations is 2030 of which 1515 have population less than 100.

3.2 Secretary, EE&L enquired whether they have any schools run by Missionaries. The Education Secretary, Arunachal Pradesh clarified that they don't have Missionary Schools in large number, but only a few schools are run by R.K. Mission.

3.3 The Secretary, Arunachal Pradesh enquired whether the post sanctioned under OB would continue to be funded under Sarva Shiksha Abhiyan (SSA) since OB is being subsumed under SSA. Secretary, EE&L clarified that OB is a Plan Scheme and all liabilities of 9<sup>th</sup> Plan will get transferred to State Government during the 10<sup>th</sup> Plan and SSA will take care only of the future teacher requirement of the State. On Secretary, Arunachal Pradesh's insistence for continued support for OB liability, FA clarified that the Board is not competent to take a decision in this regard and that the State Government could take up the matter with the Planning Commission.

3.4 Dr. D.D. Yadav on behalf of the Appraisal Team made the following points: -

- The State Government has no previous experience for similar programmes like DPEP, their capacity for preparing and presenting the district plans was found to be low.
- Most districts have conducted household survey as a part of pre-Project activities but this data has not been properly analysed and utilised.
- The State Government has not given adequate information on infrastructure facility available or the human resource available in schools.
- The State needs to pay more attention on capacity building by upgrading their State Institute of Education into SCERT and also set up a SIEMAT. They also need to set up College of Teacher Education and AISE by taking advantage of the Scheme of the Teacher Education of the Ministry.
- They need to come out with a clear policy on recruitment and deployment of teachers.
- Most of the teachers are untrained because of the little pre-service training facility in the State.

3.5 After discussion on the proposals made by the State Government and the recommendations of the Appraisal Team thereon, the following decisions were taken by the Board:

- i) 71 new primary schools only for the three districts of Papun Pare, Kuru Kumey and Tawang where details of schoolless habitations having population over 200 are available. **97** new upper primary schools were sanctioned through upgradation in all the districts except West Siang district . These schools were sanctioned to bring the primary/upper primary ratio closer to 1:2. However, in absence of data on transition rates, the numbers were restricted to 10 in a district, wherever the requirement was for more.
- ii) 126 primary school teachers were sanctioned for the two districts of Papun Pare and Kuru Kumey. It was expected that the requirement of Tawang could be satisfied through redeployment. In case this cannot be done, the State can propose additional teachers next year. 100 Upper primary school teachers were sanctioned only in those districts where the PTR ratio is adverse. In other districts the requirements could be satisfied through redeployment. No salary for teachers was sanctioned this year. The teachers should be qualified and satisfy the NCTE guidelines. **Further, 396 additional teachers were sanctioned for primary schools to fulfil the ratio of 1:40 and at least two teachers in schools, only in those districts where the teacher-pupil ratio is adverse. No salary amount was allowed for this year for the teachers being sanctioned now.**
- iii) TLE for the newly sanctioned 71 primary schools and 97 Upper Primary schools was approved.
- iv) School grant for 1650 schools and teachers grant for 5380 teachers were sanctioned as per the norms of SSA.
- v) Teacher training for 5380 teachers for 5 days was approved.
- vi) One day training of 1990 community leaders was approved as per SSA norms in the four districts of Papun Pare, East Kameng, Lower Subansri and East Siang.
- vii) Research and Evaluation Grant at the reduced rate of Rs 1000/- per school was sanctioned for 1650 schools.
- viii) 59 BRCs were sanctioned for all the CD Blocks. Furniture grant at the rate of Rs 1,00,000/- and contingency grant at the rate of Rs 5000/- per BRC was approved. No personnel were sanctioned this year.
- ix) IED grant was sanctioned for 690 children this year.

- x) Under the head civil works, sanction was given for repair and maintenance of 1392 schools, 27 additional class rooms, 122 drinking water facilities and 1 new school building at a total cost of Rs 116.9 lakhs.
- xi) Rs 21.02 lakhs was sanctioned as Management cost.
- xii) Based on the above decisions, the total outlay approved by the Board was as follows:

(Rs lakhs)

	Civil Works		Management		Others		Total
	Amount	(%)	Amount	(%)	Amount	(%)	
Papun Pare	9.200	32.93	1.670	5.98	17.07	61.09	27.937
Kuru Kumey	8.100	33.00	1.470	5.99	14.98	61.01	24.546
East Kameng	10.150	32.99	1.840	5.98	18.78	61.03	30.769
Tawang	6.650	32.86	1.180	5.87	12.41	61.77	20.239
Lower Subansiri	5.200	32.65	0.940	5.90	9.79	61.45	15.927
Dibang Valley	4.000	32.68	0.730	5.96	7.51	61.36	12.241
Tirap	8.800	32.95	1.600	5.99	16.31	61.06	26.711
Changlang	9.500	32.84	1.730	5.98	17.70	61.18	28.931
Upper Subansiri	4.900	32.43	0.910	5.96	9.30	60.95	15.112
Upper Siang	6.400	32.86	1.160	5.96	11.92	61.18	19.477
Lohit District	10.100	32.80	1.840	5.98	18.85	61.23	30.794
West Kameng	10.400	32.91	1.890	5.98	19.31	61.11	31.598
West Siang	10.000	31.82	1.610	5.12	19.81	63.05	31.422
East Siang	13.500	32.97	2.450	5.98	24.99	61.04	40.944
Total	116.900	32.78	21.020	5.89	218.73	61.33	356.648

xiii) State Government should satisfy the following as far as spending the funds under SSA was concerned:

- The State Government should give a written commitment for meeting its share of the SSA outlay.
- The State Government should release the above funds to the State Implementation Society within one month of the receipt of funds in its account.
- First instalment of the State share should also be released to the Society within one month of the release of Central share to the State Government.
- At least 50% of the teachers recruited should be female.
- VECs or equivalent bodies should be constituted and accounts opened to incur expenditure under teachers grant, civil works, maintenance grants, school grants

and other such expenditure which has to be incurred only through these bodies as per SSA norms.

- (f) The State Government will maintain their level of investment in elementary education as in 1999-2000 and give the details of this to GOI before the release of second instalment. The contribution as State share for SSA will be over and above this investment.
- (g) The second instalment would only be released after the previous instalment of Central Government and State share has been transferred to the State Implementation Society and substantial progress has been made in expenditure as far as money already released is concerned.

**Item No.4.** Consideration of the DEEPs of Tripura

4.1 A brief presentation was made by the Secretary (Edu.) Tripura. The highlights of the presentation are as follows: -

- According to Census 2001, the total population of the State is 31,91,168.
- The literacy rate of the State is 81.41% for males and 65.41% for females. The lowest female literacy rate is 51.57% in Dhalai district.
- The population of children in the age group 6-14 years is 6,55,265 and the total enrolment is 5,82,735. The number of out of school children is 93,184.
- The no. of primary schools is 2084 and that of upper primary is 433.
- The drop-out rate at primary stage (class I-V) is 50.60% and for upper primary stage(I-VIII) it is 65.12%. The drop-out for ST children in primary and upper primary is 63.59% and 77.92% respectively.
- The no. of teachers in primary and upper primary is 9062 and 6290 respectively. However, the bulk of these teachers are untrained.
- The no. of habitation without a primary school is 1668 and that of upper primary it is 3423.
- The no. of schools without toilet are 940 (primary schools) and 412 (upper primary schools).
- The no. of schools having no drinking water facility is 1370 (primary) and 510 (upper primary)
- State has a vision of providing quality education to all children through attractive environment and joyful learning.

- As the State has only two DIETs with total intake of 245, they are not able to get trained teachers to meet their requirement. They also have a backlog of 6683 untrained teachers for which arrangements need to be made to get them proper training.

4.2 The State Education Secretary suggested that they may be permitted to reduce the duration of training from 1 year to 6 months in view of large number of untrained teachers and lack of teacher training institutions in the State. FA pointed out that it would not be advisable to agree to the reduction in the duration of training. JS(EE) pointed out that this matter comes within the purview of NCTE and they should be consulted. The State Education Secretary was requested to explore the possibility of getting their untrained teachers trained by the IGNOU through distant mode. The State Education Secretary replied that they have taken up the matter with IGNOU but could not find a solution. However, he will again take up the matter with them. They also proposed to recruit only trained teachers and provide facility for pre-service training in double shift.

4.3 Dr. Pramila Menon on behalf of the Appraisal Team made the following points:-

- The State has no experience of a programme like DPEP.
- The concept of Panchayati Raj Institutions is very strong. Awareness campaign has been taken up involving Pradhan of Gram Panchayat and members of Panchayat Samiti.
- The perspective plans have been prepared on the basis of the data collected through survey and participatory meetings.
- Household survey and school survey were conducted and the data collected were analyzed and used for preparation of plan, though information for all the four districts were not uniformly recorded.
- The capacity of the personnel preparing the plans, however, needs to be upgraded.
- The information on access, enrolment, drop-out etc. is not available separately for primary and upper primary.
- The Plans do not reflect vision for quality education.

4.4 Secretary, EE&L desired to know the link schools have with the Panchayat. The Education Secretary, Tripura pointed out that the Panchayati Raj Institutions which are functioning at three levels, i.e., village, block and districts, have been entrusted with the

responsibility for supervision of school management. The enrolment and attendance of students in schools and maintenance of school come under their purview. JS(EE) sought a clarification on the Autonomous Hill Council. Education Secretary stated that the Autonomous Councils were fully involved in planning & implementation of SSA.

4.5 After discussion on the proposals made by the State Government and the recommendations of the Appraisal Team thereon, the following decisions were taken by the Board:

- i) No new primary or upper primary schools were sanctioned.
- ii) School grant for 2905 schools and teachers grant for 25867 teachers were sanctioned as per the norms of SSA.
- iii) Teacher training for 8714 teachers for 10 days was approved.
- iv) Research and Evaluation grant of Rs 15 lakhs was sanctioned towards the State component.
- v) Training of 8208 community leaders was approved for 2 days as per SSA norms.
- vi) Under the innovative head Rs 13 lakh per district for the four districts was sanctioned for computer education.
- vii) For 38 BRCs and 214 CRCs furniture grant was sanctioned as per norms. Contingency grant was sanctioned to the BRCs at the reduced rate of Rs 5000/- per BRC and to CRCs as per SSA norms.
- viii) 190180 primary and 84539 upper primary text-books were sanctioned to SC boys and girls and general girls.
- ix) Under the head civil works, sanction was given for repair and maintenance of 2905 schools, 5 BRCs and 4 CRCs at a total cost of Rs 183.25 lakhs. Regarding school buildings it was felt that the State Government cost is too high and the State was asked to consult the TSG of Ed.Cil to evolve low cost models.
- x) Rs 33 lakhs was sanctioned as Management cost.
- xi) Based on the above decisions, the total outlay approved by the Board was as follows:

(Rs lakhs)

	Civil Works		Management		Others		Total
	Amount	(%)	Amount	(%)	Amount	(%)	Amount
North District	38.95	18.66	8.25	3.95	161.51	77.38	208.71
Dhalai District	29.15	17.16	8.25	4.86	132.51	77.99	169.91
West District	68.35	17.49	8.25	2.11	314.15	80.40	390.75
South District	46.80	17.76	8.25	3.13	208.53	79.11	263.58

State Component	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	15.00	100.00	15.00
Total	183.25	17.49	33.00	3.15	831.70	79.36	1047.95

xii) State Government should satisfy the following as far as spending the funds under SSA was concerned:

- (a) The State Government should give a written commitment for meeting its share of the SSA outlay.
- (b) The State Government should release the above funds to the State Implementation Society within one month of the receipt of funds in its account.
- (c) First instalment of the State share should also be released to the Society within one month of the release of Central share to the State Government.
- (d) VECs or equivalent bodies should be constituted and accounts opened to incur expenditure under teachers grant, civil works, maintenance grants, school grants and other such expenditure which has to be incurred only through these bodies as per SSA norms.
- (e) The State Government will maintain their level of investment in elementary education as in 1999-2000 and give the details of this to GOI before the release of second instalment. The contribution as State share for SSA will be over and above this investment.
- (f) The second instalment would only be released after the previous instalment of Central Government and State share has been transferred to the State Implementation Society and substantial progress has been made in expenditure as far as money already released is concerned.

**Item No.5.** Consideration of the DEEPs of Himachal Pradesh

5.1 A brief presentation was made by the Education Secretary, Himachal Pradesh. The highlights of the presentation are as follows :-

- The State has submitted perspective plans for 2001-2010 at a total cost of Rs.1399.54 crore in respect of three districts of Kangra, Mandi and Shimla.
- The State has literacy rate of 86.02% for males and 68.08% for females. However, the districts have shown a wide range of variation between 83.16% and 63.73%.
- The Gross Enrolment ratio is 115% and net enrolment ratio is 97%. The enrolment of girls to total enrolment is 49.5%.

- The drop-out rate at primary level has declined to two to three per cent.
- In order to improve infrastructural facilities in the school, the State has launched the Saraswati Bal Vidya Sankalp Yojana in 1999 with the objective to construct 13612 class room at a cost of Rs.126.11 crore.
- The household survey shows less than 1% out of school children in the districts. Hence, the children left out are the hardest to reach category. Their number is 4585 and State Government has proposed strategies to enroll such children.

5.2 Shri P. K. Mohanty, DEA, informed that the PAB has already approved the Plans of 5 districts of Himachal Pradesh earlier. For the present three districts only desk appraisal has been done, based on the remarks of the Appraisal team in case of the earlier five districts.

5.3 After discussion on the proposals made by the State Government and the recommendations of the Appraisal Team thereon, the following decisions were taken by the Board:

- i) No new primary or upper primary schools were sanctioned.
- ii) School grant for 6615 schools were sanctioned as per the norms of SSA.
- iii) Research & Evaluation grant of Rs 10.50 lakhs was sanctioned at the rate of Rs 3.5 lakhs per district.
- iv) Training of 12000 community leaders was approved for 2 days as per SSA norms.
- v) Under the innovation head, Rs 5 lakhs per district for the 3 districts was sanctioned.
- vi) For 6 BRCs and 138 CRCs, furniture grant was sanctioned as per norms.
- vii) IED grant for 300 children was sanctioned as per norms.
- viii) Under the head civil works, sanction was given for repair and maintenance of 1584 schools at a total cost of Rs 79.2 lakhs.
- ix) Rs 14.4 lakhs was sanctioned as Management cost
- x) Based on the above decisions, the total outlay approved by the Board was as follows:

(Rs lakhs)

	Civil Works		Management		Others		Total
	Amount	(%)	Amount	(%)	Amount	(%)	Amount
Shimla	26.40	28.75	4.80	5.23	60.62	66.02	91.82
Mandi	26.40	28.29	4.80	5.14	62.12	66.57	93.32
Kangra	26.40	27.26	4.80	4.96	65.66	67.79	96.86
Total	79.20	28.09	14.40	5.11	188.40	66.81	282.00

xi) State Government should satisfy the following as far as spending the funds under SSA was concerned:

- (a) The State Government should give a written commitment for meeting its share of the SSA outlay.
- (b) The State Government should release the above funds to the State Implementation Society within one month of the receipt of funds in its account.
- (c) First instalment of the State share should also be released to the Society within one month of the release of Central share to the State Government.
- (d) VECs or equivalent bodies should be constituted and accounts opened to incur expenditure under teachers grant, civil works, maintenance grants, school grants and other such expenditure which has to be incurred only through these bodies as per SSA norms.
- (e) The State Government will maintain their level of investment in elementary education as in 1999-2000 and give the details of this to GOI before the release of second instalment. The contribution as State share for SSA will be over and above this investment.
- (f) The second instalment would only be released after the previous instalment of Central Government and State share has been transferred to the State Implementation Society and substantial progress has been made in expenditure as far as money already released is concerned.

**Item No.6.** Consideration of the DEEPs of Pondicherry

6.1 The Deputy Director,(Edu.), Government of Pondicherry made a presentation on their proposals. The highlights of the presentation are as follows :-

- The UT has a population of 973829 and the literacy rate of 81.49%.
- The Female literacy rate is 74.13%. The highest literacy rate is 95.78% (female 94.23%) in Mahe region.
- 350 habitations out of 379 in the UT are served with primary schooling facility and only 29 habitations do not have a primary school within 1 km.
- The number of primary school is 261 and that of upper primary it is 65. The ratio between upper primary and primary is 1:4.

- The GER at primary and upper primary stage is 110.7% and 113% respectively while the drop-out rate is 0.45 and 11.45% respectively.
- The Union Territory has four districts but the UT Government has submitted a single district plan treating all these districts as one district.

6.2 After discussion on the proposals made by the UT Government and the recommendations of the Appraisal Team thereon, the Board noted that the Plan was rudimentary in nature and not a proper detailed plan prepared after identifying the gaps. Following decisions were taken:

- i) The Union Territory was asked to prepare individual plans for revenue districts and not club all the four districts into one plan. Accordingly, this year also the heads proposed were divided into the four districts, based on number of schools and number of teachers in each district.
- ii) No new primary or upper primary schools were sanctioned.
- iii) School grant for 326 schools and teachers grant for 3240 were sanctioned as per the norms of SSA.
- iv) Teacher training was sanctioned for 200 teachers for 10 days only.
- v) BRCs and CRCs were not sanctioned in absence of the status of community development blocks in the urban areas.
- vi) Under the head “civil works”, sanction was given for repair and maintenance of 326 schools at a reduced rate of Rs 3800/- per school to keep within the 33% expenditure limits.
- vii) Rs 2 lakhs was sanctioned as Management cost for furniture, equipment and consumables.
- viii) Based on the above decisions, the total outlay approved by the Board was as follows:

(Rs lakhs)

	District	Total outlay	Civil Works	% of civil works to total cost	Management cost	% of management cost to total cost
1	Pondicherry	23.47	7.71	32.86	1.25	5.33
2	Karaikal	11.45	3.76	32.85	0.61	5.33
3	Mahe	1.81	0.46	25.15	0.07	3.86
4	Yanam	1.57	0.46	29.08	0.07	4.46
	Total	38.31	12.39	32.34	2.00	5.22

ix) State Government should satisfy the following as far as spending the funds under SSA was concerned:

- (a) The State Government should give a written commitment for meeting its share of the SSA outlay.
- (b) The State Government should release the above money to the State Implementation Society within one month of the receipt of money in its account.
- (c) First instalment of the State share should also be released to the Society within one month of the release of Central share to the State Government.
- (d) VECs or equivalent bodies should be constituted and accounts opened to incur expenditure under teachers grant, civil works, maintenance grants, school grants and other such expenditure which has to be incurred only through these bodies as per SSA norms.
- (e) The State Government will maintain their level of investment in elementary education as in 1999-2000 and give the details of this to GOI before the release of second instalment. The contribution as State share for SSA will be over and above this investment.
- (f) The second instalment would only be released after the previous instalment of Central Government and State share has been transferred to the State Implementation Society and substantial progress has been made in expenditure as far as money already released is concerned.

**ITEM NO. 7** : Consideration of the District Elementary Education Plans of DPEP districts received from Karnataka and Rajasthan.

7.1 JS (EE) pointed out that the PAB, in its 13<sup>th</sup> Meeting, had approved the DEEP of 218 DPEP districts in 16 States, based on the decision taken in the 12<sup>th</sup> Meeting, when it had decided to take up the annual plans received from the DPEP districts. These approvals were given based on plans prepared by the States for the following five activities:

- i) Civil works
- ii) TLE for upper-primary for schools not covered under OBB
- iii) Schools grant
- iv) Innovative activity for girls' education, interventions for children belonging to SC/ST community, computer education for upper primary level

v) Management Cost

Karnataka and Rajasthan could not submit their plans in time so they had been included this meeting of the PAB.

7.2 Based on the plans submitted by the States and the appraisal reports placed before the Board and the submission of bureau heads, following decisions were taken by the Board:

- i) Only those items referred to in Para 2.1, above, would be approved.
- ii) The average plan size of the State would be kept around Rs 40 lakhs per district.
- iii) In the case of Rajasthan, it was directed that the civil works be reduced since it was more than 33% in most of the districts.
- iv) It was directed that the States should be asked to immediately transfer the funds being sent now, and also the funds sent earlier for non-DPEP districts, to the State Implementation Society immediately.
- v) Based on the above decision, the following plan size for individual districts were approved:

(a) Karnataka

(Rs lakhs)

	Districts	Civil Works	TLE	School Grant	Innovation	Management	Total
1	Bagalkot	3.00	12.00	11.66	1.00	1.00	28.66
2	Bangalore(S)	3.00	4	18.22	1.00	1.00	27.22
3	Belgaum	2.00	0	34.3	2.00	1.00	39.30
4	Bellary	2.00	7	15.42	1.00	1.00	26.42
5	Bidar	3.00	15	10.66	1.00	1.00	30.66
6	Bijapur	3.00	6	14.28	1.00	1.00	25.28
7	Chamarajnagar	3.00	22	7.06	1.00	1.00	34.06
8	Dharwad	3.00	22	6.9	1.00	1.00	33.90
9	Gadag	3.00	21	7.08	1.00	1.00	33.08
10	Gulbarga	3.00	0	19	1.00	1.00	24.00
11	Haveri	3.00	14	12.7	1.00	1.00	31.70
12	Kolar	2.00	12	24.64	1.00	1.00	40.64
13	Koppal	3.00	18	8.24	1.00	1.00	31.24
14	Mandya	2.00	6	18.76	1.00	1.00	28.76
15	Mysore	3.00	0	17.68	1.00	1.00	22.68
16	Raichur	2.00	15	10.02	1.00	1.00	29.02
	Total	43.00	174.00	236.62	17.00	16.00	486.62

(b) Rajasthan

(Rs lakhs)

	Districts	Civil Works	TLE	School Grant	Innovation	Management	Total
1	Alwar	13.10	5.00	14.10	5.44	2.17	39.81
2	Bhatarpur	13.20	2.50	16.00	6.10	2.35	40.15
3	Bhilwara	13.00	10.50	10.14	4.05	1.77	39.46
4	Bundi	13.10	19.00	4.38	2.03	1.19	39.70
5	Churu	13.10	13.00	8.58	3.50	1.61	39.79
6	Dausa	13.00	13.00	8.50	3.48	1.60	39.58
7	Dholpur	13.00	19.50	3.98	1.89	1.15	39.52
8	Hanumangarh	13.10	16.50	6.12	2.64	1.36	39.72
9	Jaipur	13.10	0.00	17.50	6.63	2.30	39.53
10	Jhalawar	13.00	17.00	5.66	2.48	1.31	39.45
11	Jhunjhunu	13.20	14.00	8.04	3.31	1.55	40.10
12	Karauli	13.00	17.50	5.34	2.37	1.28	39.49
13	Kota	13.00	18.50	4.68	2.14	1.22	39.54
14	Nagaur	13.00	9.00	11.16	4.41	1.87	39.43
15	Sawai Madhopur	13.10	19.00	4.50	2.08	1.20	39.88
16	Sikar	12.90	12.50	8.62	3.52	1.61	39.15
17	Sirohi	13.10	20.50	3.40	1.69	1.09	39.78
18	Sri Ganganagar	13.10	14.00	7.92	3.27	1.54	39.83
19	Tonk	13.10	16.50	6.20	2.67	1.37	39.84
	Total	248.20	257.50	154.82	63.69	29.54	753.75

8. The meeting ended with a Vote of Thanks to the Chair.

**LIST OF PARTICIPANTS OF THE FOURTEENTH MEETING OF THE PROJECT**  
**APPROVAL BOARD ON SARVA SHIKSHA ABHIYAN HELD AT**  
**11.00 A.M. ON 11 MARCH, 2002**

1. Shri S.K. Tripathi, Secretary, EE & L, In the Chair
2. Shri Sumit Bose, Joint Secretary, MHRD
3. Shri V.K. Pipersenia, JS & FA, MHRD
4. Shri Jagan Mathews, JS(AE) & DG(NLM), MHRD
5. Shri J.C. Sharma, Director(Edu), Plg. Commission (representative of Principal Adviser(Edu), Planning Commission)
6. Dr. Shabnam Sinha, NCERT (representative of Director, NCERT)
7. Dr. Meena Gautam, Deputy Secretary, NCTE(representative of Director, NCTE)
8. Dr. Pramila Menon, Fellow, NIEPA(representative of Director, NIEPA)
9. Shri V.P. Yajurvedi, Director, Ministry of Labour(representative of Secretary, Labour)
10. Shri A.K. Ambasht, Deputy Secretary(Plg.)(representative of JS(P))

**IN ATTENDANCE**

1. Shri B.K. Chakraborty, Commissioner-cum-Secretary(Edu.), Government of Tripura.
2. Shri M.C. Sarkar, Joint Director(Edu.), Tripura
3. Shri Arup Taw, Project Manager, SPIU, Tripura
4. Dr. R.D. Srivastava, Secretary(Edu.), Government of Arunachal Pradesh
5. Shri Sudipto Roy, Commissioner-cum-Secretary(Edu.), Government of Himachal Pradesh.
6. Shri Akshay Sood, SPD, Himachal Pradesh
7. Shri S.C. Gujaria, Ed.CIL
8. Shri A. Ramadas, Dy. Director(El. Edu.), Pondicherry
9. Shri Sourav Banerjee, Chief Consultant, Civil Works, Ed.CIL, TSG.
10. Dr. D.D. Yadav, Reader, NCERT
11. Dr. Sushma Jaireth, Reader, NCERT
12. Shri P.K. Mohanty, DEA, MHRD
13. Shri Praveen Kumar, Director, MHRD
14. Shri O.P. Chaturvedi, US, MHRD.

